

Allen

JOURNAL

STAT

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Tuesday - 17 November 1964

25X1

1. [redacted] Talked with Congressman Wright Patman

expressing to him the Agency's appreciation for his assistance and cooperation the last time General Carter and I met with him. Mr. Patman said he was anxious to be cooperative with the Agency at all times. I discussed with him the standard procedure of securing clearances based on investigations of staff people on the Hill who receive classified information indicating that the Chairman of committees with whom we were concerned insisted on such a procedure both for their own protection and the protection of the agency concerned. I then indicated that in connection with [redacted] he has received classified information but added that we would not be in a position to conduct an investigation. Mr. Patman stated that he thought this was an excellent suggestion and inquired would the FBI run the investigation. I indicated this matter had been checked with the FBI and upon his request they would conduct such an investigation. He said fine, how should he start the matter and I stated I would request an FBI man to drop by his office. Mr. Patman said he would like this assurance and appreciated the Agency bringing it to his attention and he mentioned in passing the [redacted] case. He also added that he might wish to request the Bureau to also run an investigation of his assistant. [redacted] has been 25X1 notified and will request the FBI to send a representative to see Mr. Patman.

25X1

2. [redacted] Left with Jay Scarwina, Senate Internal Security Subcommittee staff, a number of news clippings describing in some detail the area of knowledge of [redacted] 25X1

I mentioned to Scarwina that the recent Allen & Scott column indicated that the Subcommittee has been conducting an exhaustive study of "Security Procedures and Systems of the State Department and CIA." Scarwina passed this off by saying that, of course, the Subcommittee was not now making such a study but that it would naturally investigate reports of lax security procedures whenever they might come to the Subcommittee's attention.

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Friday - 6 November 1964

Page 2 25X1

25X1 3. [redacted] Met with Jay Sourwine, Senate Internal Security Subcommittee staff. We discussed possible dates for the appearance of [redacted] before the Subcommittee in executive session. Sourwine said he didn't think he had a chance of getting a member in Washington on 12 or 13 November as we suggested but it was possible that he could get one on the 10th. We will continue our efforts to establish a mutually agreeable date. He said Senator Dodd would be in town 16 through 18 November and he was quite certain that he would make himself available if necessary.

25X1 With respect to his inquiry on the date of termination of [redacted] from the Agency, I gave Sourwine the dates of his separation from the State Department, his separation from staff status (with conversion to contract) and his ultimate contract termination date. Sourwine thanked me for this information and said he had advised the Chairman of the Agency's desire not to have [redacted] called as a witness before Internal Security. He said he had heard nothing further from the Chairman on it and doubted that he would. He said if the Chairman wished to pursue this that he (Sourwine) would contact us before doing anything. As an aside he mentioned that should this develop we might want to "brief" [redacted] before his appearance. 25X1

Left with Sourwine copies of foreign press comment on the report allegedly received by the Italian Communist Party concerning Khrushchev.

25X1 4. [redacted] Handcarried to the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy a letter setting forth the names of those persons authorized to receive information at the Top Secret Restricted Data level.

George Murphy, of the Committee staff, advised me of his departure tomorrow for Europe in connection with his attendance as an observer to the Joint State, Defense, and AEC Security Inspection Review Team at the upcoming meeting in Paris and London. Murphy commented that he was going to use this trip as an opportunity to sound out various people with respect to their views on the MLF and PNL.

In talking with Murphy, I mentioned that we had received ramblings indirectly indicating that Jack Rosen, of the Committee staff, felt that the staff was not receiving sufficient information or cooperation from the Agency. (This had been passed to us by Dr. Reichardt of AEC.) Murphy assured me that if Mr. Rosen had given such an impression it definitely was not an expression of feeling as far as the Joint Committee staff is concerned and that we should not be upset by any report to this effect.

25X1
25X1